Integrating Shadow and Essence: A Developmental Model Uniting Jungian Individuation, the S-G-E Framework, and Contemporary Research on Consciousness Evolution and Human Flourishing

Luis Miguel Gallardo
World Happiness Foundation
Shoolini University, Yogananda School of Spirituality and Happiness

Date: January 25, 2026

Executive Summary

This paper presents an integrative developmental model that bridges Carl Jung's individuation process with the Shadow-Gift-Essence (S-G-E) framework, grounded in contemporary research on consciousness evolution and human flourishing. Drawing on 90 peer-reviewed studies across Jungian analytical psychology, integral consciousness theory, and positive psychology, this work proposes a unified pathway for psychological transformation that honors depth psychology's wisdom while incorporating evidence-based insights from self-determination theory and transpersonal development.

The proposed Integrative Transformation Model (ITM) synthesizes three complementary perspectives: (1) Jungian individuation as the archetypal journey toward wholeness through shadow integration and Self-realization, (2) the S-G-E framework as a practical, emotion-centered methodology for transforming psychological patterns, and (3) consciousness evolution theory and flourishing research as empirical foundations for understanding developmental stages and optimal human functioning. This integration reveals that shadow work, emotional alchemy, and the satisfaction of basic psychological needs converge in supporting both individual transformation and collective evolution toward higher stages of consciousness.

Key findings demonstrate that shadow integration mechanisms in Jungian psychology align with the S-G-E model's transformation arc, while both frameworks map onto developmental stages described in integral theory and support the psychological needs identified in self-determination theory. The paper concludes with practical applications for therapeutic practice, coaching, and personal development, offering a comprehensive roadmap for facilitating human flourishing through integrated shadow work and essence cultivation.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

The quest for psychological wholeness and optimal human functioning has occupied thinkers across millennia, from ancient wisdom traditions to contemporary positive psychology. Carl Jung's analytical psychology offered a profound map of the psyche's journey toward individuation—the process of becoming one's authentic Self through integrating unconscious contents, particularly the shadow (Danylova, 2015). Nearly a century later, this archetypal framework continues to inform therapeutic practice, yet practitioners increasingly seek bridges between depth psychology and evidence-based approaches to well-being and consciousness development.

The Shadow-Gift-Essence (S-G-E) framework, developed through integrative coaching and hypnotherapy practice, provides such a bridge (Gallardo, 2025). This triadic model guides individuals from recognizing difficult emotions (Shadow) through uncovering their adaptive intelligence (Gift) to embodying integrated qualities of being (Essence). While rooted in practical therapeutic work, the S-G-E framework resonates deeply with Jungian concepts while remaining accessible to contemporary practitioners and clients unfamiliar with analytical psychology's complex terminology.

Simultaneously, research on consciousness evolution—particularly Ken Wilber's integral theory and spiral dynamics—has mapped developmental stages through which individuals and cultures progress, from egocentric to ethnocentric to worldcentric and beyond (Wilber, 1997). These frameworks describe not merely cognitive development but transformations in how consciousness itself organizes experience. Parallel developments in positive psychology, especially self-determination theory (SDT), have identified basic psychological needs— autonomy, competence, and relatedness—as foundational to eudaimonic well-being and human flourishing (Ryan, Huta, & Deci, 2008).

This paper proposes that these seemingly disparate frameworks—Jungian individuation, the S-G-E model, consciousness evolution theory, and flourishing research—describe complementary dimensions of a unified developmental process. By integrating insights from 90 peer-reviewed studies across these domains, I present the Integrative Transformation Model (ITM), which synthesizes shadow work, emotional alchemy, developmental stages, and psychological need satisfaction into a comprehensive framework for facilitating human transformation and flourishing.

The integration addresses several critical gaps in contemporary psychology. First, while Jungian psychology offers profound insights into the unconscious and archetypal dimensions of transformation, it has remained somewhat isolated from mainstream empirical psychology (Roesler, 2025). Second, practical emotion-focused frameworks like S-G-E require theoretical grounding in established psychological models to gain broader acceptance. Third, consciousness evolution theories have been criticized for insufficient attention to emotional and shadow dimensions of development (Daniels, 2004). Finally, positive psychology's focus on strengths and well-being has sometimes neglected the transformative potential of working with difficult emotions and shadow material (Robbins, 2021).

By demonstrating the convergence of these frameworks, this paper aims to: (1) validate the S-G-E model through alignment with established Jungian concepts and contemporary research, (2)

make Jungian individuation more accessible through practical emotion-focused methodology, (3) ground consciousness evolution theory in the concrete work of shadow integration and psychological need satisfaction, and (4) enrich positive psychology with depth-oriented approaches to transformation. The result is a comprehensive, evidence-informed model for facilitating human development that honors both the depths of the psyche and the heights of consciousness evolution.

2. Theoretical Foundations

2.1 Jungian Individuation and Shadow Integration

Carl Jung's concept of individuation represents one of psychology's most comprehensive frameworks for understanding human development and transformation. Individuation is defined as "the process by which a person becomes a psychological 'individual,' that is, a separate, indivisible unity or 'whole'" (Chorna, 2025, p. 81). This lifelong journey involves the progressive integration of unconscious contents into consciousness, leading to the realization of the Self—the archetype of wholeness and the psyche's organizing center (Danylova, 2015).

Central to individuation is the encounter with and integration of the shadow—those aspects of personality that the ego has rejected, repressed, or failed to develop. The shadow contains not only negative or socially unacceptable qualities but also undeveloped potentials and creative capacities (Moyses, 2022). As Jung emphasized, "recognizing and integrating the shadow side of the personality is a sine qua non condition for self-knowledge" (Santos, 2022, p. 45). Shadow integration involves acknowledging these disowned aspects with compassion rather than judgment, thereby reclaiming psychic energy and expanding the ego's capacity for wholeness.

The individuation process unfolds through several archetypal stages. Initially, individuals develop a persona—the social mask or adaptive identity presented to the world (González, 2018). As development proceeds, encounters with the shadow, anima/animus (contrasexual aspects), and other archetypal figures challenge the ego's limited self-concept. Through active imagination, dream work, and symbolic engagement, these unconscious contents are gradually assimilated (MacLennan, 2006). The process culminates not in ego inflation but in a paradoxical realization: the ego recognizes itself as subordinate to the larger Self, which encompasses both conscious and unconscious dimensions (Wrastari, 2018).

Contemporary Jungian scholarship emphasizes that individuation is not solipsistic withdrawal but leads to "greater collective solidarity" and authentic relationship (Begrebet »selvet« hos C. G. Jung, 2023). By integrating the shadow, individuals develop "broad sympathy and loss of egoistic self-righteousness," enabling more genuine connection with others (Danylova, 2015, p. 156). This social dimension of individuation aligns with research on psychological maturity and interpersonal development.

The mechanisms of shadow integration identified in Jungian literature include: (1) recognition—becoming aware of projected or repressed contents, (2) withdrawal of projections—reclaiming disowned aspects from others onto whom they have been projected, (3) dialogue—engaging unconscious contents through active imagination or therapeutic conversation, (4) symbolic integration—working with dreams and creative expression to assimilate unconscious material,

and (5) ethical confrontation—making conscious choices about how to relate to shadow contents (Sznajder, 2020). These mechanisms require what Jung called "moral courage"—the willingness to face uncomfortable truths about oneself and to suffer the tension of holding opposites in consciousness (Sznajder, 2020, p. 142).

Recent applications of Jungian theory to leadership and personal development emphasize individuation as a journey from persona-identification to authentic personality (Ladkin, Spiller, & Craze, 2018). Rather than presenting a polished but false front, individuated leaders integrate their shadow aspects, becoming more "real" and trustworthy (Ladkin et al., 2018, p. 89). This integration enables what has been termed "internally guided leadership"—decision-making rooted in connection with the Self rather than external validation or ego-driven ambition (Sweet, n.d.).

The Jungian framework thus provides a rich archetypal map for transformation, grounded in clinical observation and amplified through cross-cultural mythological and symbolic material. However, its complexity and specialized terminology can present barriers to practical application, particularly for those outside analytical psychology traditions. This creates an opportunity for frameworks like S-G-E to translate Jungian insights into more accessible, emotion-focused methodology.

2.2 The Shadow-Gift-Essence (S-G-E) Framework

The Shadow-Gift-Essence framework emerged from integrative practice combining hypnotherapy, coaching, and contemplative approaches to emotional transformation (Gallardo, 2025). Unlike diagnostic models that pathologize difficult emotions, S-G-E treats every emotional experience as carrying important information and adaptive potential. The framework guides individuals through a three-stage transformation arc: recognizing the Shadow (difficult or disowned emotion), uncovering the Gift (adaptive intelligence and positive intention), and embodying the Essence (integrated quality of being).

Shadow: The Contracted Expression

In the S-G-E model, Shadow refers to the raw, often uncomfortable emotional experience that signals an unmet need or protective response. This includes emotions like fear, anger, shame, jealousy, grief, and despair—feelings that individuals frequently try to suppress, avoid, or exile from awareness (Gallardo, 2025). The Shadow is not inherently negative; rather, it represents a part of the psyche attempting to protect something valuable or signal that attention is needed.

Shadow emotions typically manifest through bodily sensations (tightness, heat, numbness), repetitive thought patterns, and behavioral strategies (avoidance, control, perfectionism, people-pleasing). In therapeutic contexts, clients often present with Shadow states: "I can't," "I'm not enough," "It's too late," "It's pointless" (Gallardo, 2025). These contracted states reflect protective parts of the psyche that have learned to guard against perceived threats to safety, belonging, or integrity.

The S-G-E approach to Shadow aligns with Internal Family Systems (IFS) therapy's understanding of "parts"—subpersonalities that carry emotions and protective roles (Gallardo, 2025). Exiles hold pain from past wounds, while protectors employ various strategies to prevent that pain from overwhelming consciousness. The key therapeutic move is approaching Shadow

with "compassionate, non-judgmental presence" rather than attempting to eliminate or override it (Gallardo, 2025).

Gift: The Adaptive Intelligence

When Shadow is met with curiosity rather than resistance, its hidden Gift begins to emerge. The Gift represents the adaptive strength, wisdom, or positive capacity that the difficult emotion has been trying to deliver. For example, fear's Gift might be discernment or the motivation to prepare adequately; anger's Gift might be clarity about boundaries or energy to address injustice; shame's Gift might be a yearning for authenticity or belonging (Gallardo, 2025).

Uncovering the Gift involves asking: "What is this emotion trying to protect or achieve? What need or value does it serve?" This inquiry reveals that Shadow emotions are not obstacles to be overcome but messengers carrying vital information about what matters most. The Gift is "an adaptive, life-affirming quality that was hidden inside the Shadow, waiting to be claimed once we acknowledge the emotion instead of fighting it" (Gallardo, 2025).

The Gift stage corresponds to what positive psychology calls "benefit-finding" or "post-traumatic growth"—the capacity to extract meaning and strength from difficult experiences (Olsson, McGee, Nada-Raja, & Williams, 2013). However, S-G-E emphasizes that this is not merely cognitive reframing but an embodied process of allowing the emotional energy to transform when its message is truly heard and honored.

Essence: The Integrated Quality of Being

As the Shadow transforms through claiming its Gift, individuals access Essence—a stable, positive state of being that emerges when the protective reactivity drops away and the emotion's wisdom is fully integrated. Essence qualities include peace, wisdom, unconditional love, freedom, authentic joy, courage, compassion, and clarity (Gallardo, 2025). These are not merely pleasant feelings but fundamental qualities of one's true nature, accessible when inner conflicts are resolved and needs are met.

Essence represents "who we are once the protective reactivity drops away and the wisdom of the emotion is absorbed" (Gallardo, 2025). Clients often describe Essence states as feeling "lighter," "clearer," or "more grounded"—a sense of returning to their authentic self. In hypnotherapy sessions, Essence states can be anchored through visualization, somatic cues, and post-hypnotic suggestion, making them more readily accessible in daily life (Gallardo, 2025).

The S-G-E framework is particularly effective when combined with hypnotherapy because trance states allow the subconscious mind to communicate through its native language of images, sensations, and symbols—the same language in which emotions naturally express themselves (Gallardo, 2025). By guiding clients into relaxed, focused states, practitioners can facilitate dialogue with Shadow parts, help clients visualize and embody Gift qualities, and install Essence states at a deep, pre-verbal level.

Practical Application

The S-G-E process can be applied in brief interventions or extended therapeutic work. A basic practice involves: (1) arriving—settling into present-moment awareness through breath and body attention, (2) naming the Shadow—identifying and locating the difficult emotion without

judgment, (3) asking for the Gift—inquiring into the emotion's positive intention or underlying need, (4) touching the Essence—imagining and embodying the integrated state that emerges when the need is met, and (5) closing with action—identifying concrete steps to honor the Gift in daily life (Gallardo, 2025).

This framework has been successfully applied in diverse contexts including individual therapy, leadership development, educational settings, and organizational change (Gallardo, 2025). Its accessibility—using everyday emotional language rather than specialized psychological terminology—makes it particularly valuable for clients who might find traditional depth psychology approaches intimidating or overly intellectual.

2.3 Consciousness Evolution and Integral Theory

The evolution of consciousness represents a central concern in transpersonal psychology and integral philosophy. Ken Wilber's integral theory provides the most comprehensive contemporary framework for understanding how consciousness develops through increasingly complex and inclusive stages (Wilber, 1997). This developmental perspective suggests that both individuals and cultures progress through identifiable levels of consciousness, each characterized by distinct worldviews, values, and capacities for perspective-taking.

The Spectrum of Consciousness

Wilber's integral theory describes consciousness development as unfolding through a spectrum of stages, often visualized as a "Great Chain of Being" extending from matter through body, mind, soul, and spirit (Wilber, 1997). Each stage "transcends and includes" its predecessors—incorporating earlier capacities while adding new dimensions of awareness (Delmonte, Gennaro, & Marraffa, 2023). This hierarchical arrangement moves "from relative globality and lack of differentiation to increasing differentiation, articulation, and hierarchical integration" (Wilber, 1979, p. 23).

The developmental sequence typically includes prepersonal stages (archaic, magic), personal stages (mythic, rational, pluralistic), and transpersonal stages (integral, psychic, subtle, causal, nondual) (Cowley, 2001). At prepersonal levels, consciousness is largely undifferentiated from bodily impulses and magical thinking. Personal stages involve the emergence of rational thought, individual identity, and eventually postmodern pluralistic awareness. Transpersonal stages represent consciousness beginning to transcend exclusive identification with the separate self, opening to psychic intuition, subtle spiritual experiences, causal formless awareness, and ultimately nondual realization (Anthony, 2003).

Spiral Dynamics and Developmental Memes

Complementing Wilber's model, Spiral Dynamics (developed by Don Beck and Chris Cowan based on Clare Graves' work) describes consciousness evolution through eight major "memes" or value systems, color-coded from Beige through Turquoise (McManus, 2010). The first six levels represent "subsistence" or "first-tier" consciousness, where each stage views itself as the correct way of being and dismisses other stages. A critical transition occurs at the sixth level (Green/pluralistic), leading to "second-tier" consciousness (Yellow/integral and Turquoise/holistic), where individuals can appreciate the validity and necessity of all previous stages (McManus, 2010).

This "quantum leap" involves expanding awareness from "I" to "we" to "all of us," fostering compassion and solidarity across differences (Corrêa, 2010, p. 87). Second-tier consciousness enables what Wilber calls "integral" awareness—the capacity to hold multiple perspectives simultaneously and to recognize that different situations call for different developmental capacities (Ferreira, 2010).

The Four Quadrants

A distinctive feature of Wilber's integral theory is the "four quadrant" model, which maps consciousness and its development across four fundamental dimensions: individual interior (subjective experience, "I"), individual exterior (objective behavior, "it"), collective interior (intersubjective culture, "we"), and collective exterior (interobjective systems, "its") (Wilber, 1997). This "all-quadrant, all-level" (AQAL) approach emphasizes that consciousness cannot be reduced to any single dimension but must be understood as simultaneously unfolding across all four quadrants (Wilber, 1997, p. 45).

Each quadrant develops through its own sequence of stages. The individual interior quadrant includes psychological development from sensation through emotion, symbols, concepts, rules, formal operations, vision-logic, and transpersonal states. The individual exterior includes physical development and neurological complexity. The collective interior encompasses cultural worldviews from archaic through magic, mythic, rational, pluralistic, and integral. The collective exterior involves social systems and technological complexity (Wilber, 1997).

Developmental Mechanisms and Transitions

Consciousness evolution occurs through several key mechanisms. First, differentiation allows new capacities to emerge from previous structures. Second, integration incorporates earlier stages into more comprehensive wholes. Third, transcendence enables consciousness to dis-identify from exclusive attachment to any particular level while still being able to access its capacities (Daniels, 2004). Fourth, translation involves horizontal development within a stage, while transformation represents vertical movement to a new stage (Wilber, 2000).

Critical transitions in consciousness development often involve crisis, disorientation, and the dissolution of previous certainties. Moving from mythic to rational consciousness requires questioning inherited beliefs. Transitioning from rational to pluralistic involves recognizing the limitations of pure logic and embracing multiple perspectives. The leap to integral consciousness demands integrating the valuable aspects of all previous stages while transcending their partiality (Ferreira, 2010).

Critiques and Refinements

While influential, Wilber's model has faced critiques. Some scholars argue it privileges certain spiritual traditions while distorting others, imposing a universal hierarchy on diverse developmental paths (Schlamm, 2001). Others note insufficient empirical validation and the risk of using the model to judge individuals or cultures as "less evolved" (Daniels, 2005). Feminist and multicultural critics have questioned whether the model adequately accounts for diverse cultural expressions of consciousness and development.

Despite these critiques, integral theory provides a valuable framework for understanding consciousness evolution, particularly when held lightly as a map rather than absolute truth. Its

emphasis on including and transcending previous stages, its recognition of multiple dimensions of development, and its attempt to integrate Eastern and Western approaches to consciousness make it a useful lens for understanding transformation (Gidley, 2007).

2.4 Human Flourishing and Self-Determination Theory

Contemporary positive psychology has shifted focus from merely alleviating pathology to understanding and cultivating optimal human functioning—what Aristotle termed eudaimonia or flourishing (Ryan et al., 2008). Self-Determination Theory (SDT), developed by Edward Deci and Richard Ryan, provides the most empirically validated framework for understanding the psychological foundations of well-being and flourishing (Ryan et al., 2013).

Eudaimonic vs. Hedonic Well-Being

SDT distinguishes between hedonic well-being (pleasure, positive affect, life satisfaction) and eudaimonic well-being (meaning, growth, authenticity, vitality) (Ryan, Huta, & Deci, 2001). While hedonic approaches define well-being as the presence of pleasure and absence of pain, eudaimonic perspectives focus on "living life in a full and deeply satisfying way" through actualizing one's potentials and living in accordance with one's true self (Deci & Ryan, 2008, p. 2).

Eudaimonic living involves "pursuing intrinsic goals (growth, relationships, community, health), behaving autonomously, acting mindfully, and satisfying basic psychological needs for competence, relatedness, and autonomy" (Ryan et al., 2008, p. 140). Research demonstrates that eudaimonic living predicts not only subjective well-being but also physical health, resilience, and prosocial behavior (Ryan, Curren, & Deci, 2013).

Basic Psychological Needs

At the heart of SDT is the proposition that human flourishing depends on satisfying three basic psychological needs: autonomy (experiencing choice and psychological freedom), competence (feeling effective and capable), and relatedness (experiencing connection and belonging) (Martela & Ryan, 2019). These needs are considered universal—operating across cultures, developmental stages, and life domains—though their expression may vary contextually (Vansteenkiste, Ryan, & Deci, 2008).

Autonomy refers not to independence or self-sufficiency but to self-endorsement—acting from one's authentic values and integrated sense of self rather than from external pressure or internal compulsion (Ryan et al., 2013). Competence involves experiencing oneself as capable of producing desired outcomes and mastering challenges. Relatedness encompasses feeling cared for, understood, and connected to others in meaningful ways (Deci & Ryan, 2004).

Research demonstrates that psychological need satisfaction mediates the relationship between life circumstances and well-being. Environments, relationships, and activities that support these needs foster flourishing, while those that thwart needs lead to diminished well-being and even psychopathology (Martela & Ryan, 2019). Importantly, need satisfaction serves as "the common core connecting eudaimonic and subjective well-being"—supporting both meaning and happiness (Martela & Ryan, 2019, p. 1).

Intrinsic vs. Extrinsic Goals

SDT distinguishes between intrinsic goals (personal growth, relationships, community contribution, health) and extrinsic goals (wealth, fame, image) (Ryan et al., 2008). Pursuing intrinsic goals tends to satisfy basic psychological needs and promote well-being, while pursuing extrinsic goals—particularly when they become primary life aims—often undermines need satisfaction and well-being (Ryan et al., 2016). This occurs because extrinsic goals are typically pursued for external validation rather than authentic self-expression, and their attainment rarely provides lasting satisfaction.

Organismic Integration and Self-Concordance

A key SDT concept is organismic integration—the process by which initially external regulations become internalized and integrated with one's sense of self (Arvanitis, Kalliris, & Karagiannopoulou, 2022). Fully integrated motivations feel autonomous and self-endorsed, while poorly integrated motivations feel controlling or alien. Self-concordant goals—those aligned with one's authentic interests and values—predict greater persistence, attainment, and well-being than goals pursued for external reasons (Lomas, Hefferon, & Ivtzan, 2015).

Mindfulness and Meaning

Recent SDT research has incorporated mindfulness—present-moment, non-judgmental awareness—as a practice that supports need satisfaction and eudaimonic living (Ryan et al., 2016). Mindfulness enhances autonomy by creating space between stimulus and response, supports competence by improving attention and emotion regulation, and fosters relatedness through compassionate presence (Mills, 2017).

Meaning in life, conceptualized as comprising coherence (sense of comprehensibility), purpose (goal-directedness), and significance (mattering) (Schippers & Ziegler, 2019), represents another crucial component of flourishing. SDT research demonstrates that meaning emerges naturally when basic psychological needs are satisfied and individuals pursue intrinsic goals autonomously (Martela & Steger, 2016).

Measurement and Intervention

SDT has generated numerous validated measurement instruments, including the Basic Psychological Need Satisfaction Scale, the Intrinsic Motivation Inventory, and various domain-specific need satisfaction measures (Martela et al., 2019). Interventions based on SDT principles—such as autonomy-supportive teaching, need-supportive parenting, and workplace designs that foster autonomy, competence, and relatedness—have demonstrated effectiveness in promoting well-being, performance, and persistence across diverse contexts (Saunders, Kinnafick, & Laithwaite, 2018).

Integration with Eudaimonic Traditions

Contemporary SDT scholarship explicitly connects with Aristotelian philosophy, demonstrating remarkable convergence between ancient eudaimonic thought and modern empirical psychology (Ryan et al., 2013). Both traditions emphasize that flourishing involves actualizing one's nature, living virtuously, and contributing to the common good. This integration bridges millennia of philosophical and psychological thought, providing both theoretical depth and empirical validation for understanding human flourishing (Ryan et al., 2016).

3. Convergent Mechanisms: Mapping the Terrain

3.1 Shadow Integration Across Frameworks

The process of shadow integration reveals striking convergence across Jungian psychology, the S-G-E framework, consciousness evolution theory, and flourishing research. Each tradition describes mechanisms for recognizing, engaging, and transforming disowned or undeveloped aspects of the psyche, though using different terminology and emphasizing different dimensions.

Recognition and Awareness

All frameworks begin with recognition—bringing unconscious or avoided contents into awareness. In Jungian psychology, this involves becoming conscious of projected shadow material, often through noticing strong emotional reactions to others or through dream analysis (González, 2018). The S-G-E model emphasizes recognizing and naming difficult emotions with specificity and compassion, locating them in the body, and identifying their protective strategies (Gallardo, 2025). Integral theory describes this as developing "witness consciousness"—the capacity to observe one's thoughts, emotions, and reactions without complete identification (Wilber, 2000). SDT research on mindfulness emphasizes present-moment, non-judgmental awareness as foundational to psychological flexibility and need satisfaction (Ryan et al., 2016).

This convergence suggests that awareness itself—particularly non-judgmental, compassionate awareness—serves as a primary mechanism of transformation. As the S-G-E framework emphasizes, "simply recognizing an emotion (with acceptance rather than judgment) begins the healing and integration process on its own" (Gallardo, 2025). Jungian psychology similarly notes that making the unconscious conscious reduces its autonomous power over behavior (Danylova, 2015).

Withdrawal of Projections

A second convergent mechanism involves reclaiming disowned aspects that have been projected onto others or the environment. Jung emphasized that shadow integration requires withdrawing projections—recognizing that qualities we strongly react to in others often reflect unacknowledged aspects of ourselves (Efthimiadis-Keith, 2010). The S-G-E framework addresses this through exploring the "positive intention" of shadow emotions, which often reveals that what we judge in ourselves or others actually serves important protective or adaptive functions (Gallardo, 2025).

Integral theory describes this process as moving from egocentric (where everything is about me) through ethnocentric (where my group is right and others are wrong) to worldcentric consciousness (where I can appreciate diverse perspectives) (Ferreira, 2010). Each transition requires recognizing and integrating previously projected or disowned aspects. SDT research on autonomy demonstrates that authentic self-regulation requires integrating previously external or introjected motivations into one's sense of self (Arvanitis et al., 2022).

Dialogue and Engagement

Third, all frameworks emphasize active engagement with shadow material rather than suppression or avoidance. Jungian active imagination involves dialoguing with unconscious figures, allowing them to express themselves and reveal their purposes (MacLennan, 2006). The S-G-E model similarly encourages asking shadow emotions "What are you trying to tell me? What do you need or want for me?" (Gallardo, 2025). This inquiry transforms the relationship from adversarial (trying to eliminate the emotion) to collaborative (listening to its message).

IFS therapy, which aligns closely with both Jungian and S-G-E approaches, emphasizes approaching parts with curiosity, compassion, and confidence—qualities of the core Self (Gallardo, 2025). Research on emotion regulation demonstrates that acceptance-based approaches (engaging emotions with curiosity) prove more effective than suppression or avoidance strategies (Martela & Ryan, 2019).

Symbolic and Somatic Integration

Fourth, transformation involves working at symbolic and somatic levels, not merely cognitive understanding. Jung emphasized that symbols—particularly those arising spontaneously in dreams and active imagination—facilitate integration by holding the tension of opposites and pointing toward synthesis (González, 2018). The S-G-E framework employs visualization, metaphor, and somatic anchoring (placing a hand on the heart, noticing shifts in posture and breathing) to embody transformed states (Gallardo, 2025).

Hypnotherapy enhances this process by inducing trance states where "the subconscious mind speaks in its native language of images, sensations, and symbols" (Gallardo, 2025). Neurophysiological research validates this approach, demonstrating that visualization activates similar neural networks as actual experience and that embodied practices facilitate lasting behavioral change (Gallardo, 2023).

Ethical Integration and Action

Finally, shadow integration requires ethical engagement—making conscious choices about how to relate to shadow contents and taking action aligned with integrated awareness. Jung emphasized that individuation involves "moral courage" to face uncomfortable truths and to hold the tension of opposites (Sznajder, 2020). The S-G-E framework concludes with identifying concrete actions to honor the Gift discovered in shadow work (Gallardo, 2025).

Integral theory describes this as "translation" (horizontal development within a stage) and "transformation" (vertical movement to a new stage), both requiring active engagement with life challenges (Wilber, 2000). SDT emphasizes that well-being depends not merely on insight but on autonomous action—behaving in ways that satisfy basic psychological needs and express authentic values (Ryan et al., 2008).

3.2 The Gift as Adaptive Intelligence

The concept of the Gift in the S-G-E framework provides a crucial bridge between Jungian shadow work and contemporary positive psychology. By recognizing that every shadow emotion carries adaptive intelligence and positive intention, the Gift stage reframes psychological work from pathology-focused to strength-based while maintaining depth-oriented engagement with difficult material.

Positive Intention and Protective Function

The Gift represents the recognition that shadow emotions, however uncomfortable, serve important functions. Fear protects by alerting us to danger and motivating preparation; anger defends boundaries and signals injustice; shame reflects our need for belonging and integrity; grief honors what we have loved (Gallardo, 2025). This perspective aligns with contemporary emotion theory, which views emotions as evolved adaptive responses providing crucial information about our relationship to the environment (Olsson et al., 2013).

In Jungian terms, the Gift corresponds to the recognition that shadow contents are not merely negative but contain "undeveloped potentials and creative capacities" (Moyses, 2022, p. 98). What appears as weakness or pathology often represents strength that has been distorted by repression or one-sided development. The angry person may possess powerful capacity for justice and boundary-setting; the anxious person may have heightened sensitivity and conscientiousness; the shame-prone person may hold deep values around authenticity and belonging.

From Symptom to Strength

This reframing parallels positive psychology's emphasis on character strengths and post-traumatic growth (Olsson et al., 2013). However, the S-G-E approach maintains that accessing strengths requires first honoring the shadow—meeting the difficult emotion with compassion rather than bypassing it with premature positivity. As the framework emphasizes, "the Gift is what the emotion is trying to restore (safety, dignity, connection, truth, agency…)" (Gallardo, 2025).

Research on benefit-finding and meaning-making demonstrates that individuals who can extract positive meaning from difficult experiences show greater resilience and well-being (Olsson et al., 2013). However, this process cannot be forced; it emerges naturally when the pain is first acknowledged and the underlying need is recognized. The S-G-E framework provides a structured pathway for this emergence.

Need Satisfaction and Psychological Gifts

The Gift stage connects directly to SDT's basic psychological needs. Shadow emotions often signal threats to autonomy (feeling controlled or coerced), competence (feeling ineffective or inadequate), or relatedness (feeling disconnected or rejected) (Martela & Ryan, 2019). By uncovering the Gift, individuals identify what need requires attention and can take action to satisfy it.

For example, anger at being micromanaged reveals the Gift of autonomy—the need to exercise choice and self-direction. Anxiety about performance reveals the Gift of competence—the desire to be effective and capable. Loneliness reveals the Gift of relatedness—the need for genuine connection. By framing shadow emotions as messengers about need satisfaction, the S-G-E framework integrates depth psychology with evidence-based well-being research.

Developmental Capacity

The capacity to recognize Gifts in shadow emotions itself represents developmental achievement. At earlier stages of consciousness development, emotions are experienced as

overwhelming forces or as problems to be eliminated (Cowley, 2001). At more mature stages, individuals can observe emotions with some distance, inquire into their meaning, and extract their wisdom (Wilber, 2000).

This meta-cognitive capacity—the ability to reflect on one's emotional experience rather than being completely identified with it—emerges through the personal to transpersonal transition in integral theory (Anthony, 2003). It requires what Wilber calls "vision-logic" or integral awareness—the capacity to hold multiple perspectives simultaneously and to recognize that apparent opposites (shadow and gift, weakness and strength) can coexist (Wilber, 1997).

3.3 Essence and the Jungian Self

The Essence stage of the S-G-E framework reveals profound alignment with Jung's concept of the Self—the archetype of wholeness and the organizing center of the psyche. Both concepts point toward an integrated state of being that emerges when inner conflicts are resolved and consciousness expands beyond ego-identification.

The Self as Organizing Center

In Jungian psychology, the Self represents "the psyche's true center" and "God's image" within the human psyche (Wrastari, 2018, p. 45). It is both the totality of the psyche (conscious and unconscious) and the archetype that drives individuation—the innate tendency toward wholeness (Chorna, 2025). The Self is not the ego but encompasses the ego as one element within a larger whole. Individuation involves the ego's gradual recognition of and alignment with the Self (Efthimiadis-Keith, 2010).

The S-G-E framework's Essence similarly represents "who we are once the protective reactivity drops away and the wisdom of the emotion is absorbed" (Gallardo, 2025). Essence qualities—peace, wisdom, love, freedom, joy, courage, compassion, clarity—describe states of being rather than doing. They reflect one's authentic nature when not fragmented by inner conflict or driven by unmet needs. This closely parallels Jung's description of Self-realization as "coming to selfhood" through integrating conscious and unconscious aspects (Efthimiadis-Keith, 2010, p. 234).

Transcendence and Inclusion

Both frameworks emphasize that reaching Essence or Self does not mean eliminating the ego or shadow but rather achieving a more inclusive organization of consciousness. Jung emphasized that individuation leads to a "composite identity that is both individual and archetypal" (Stein, 2017, p. 156). The ego remains functional but recognizes itself as serving the larger Self rather than being the center of personality.

Similarly, the S-G-E framework does not aim to eliminate shadow emotions but to transform one's relationship to them. The shadow is acknowledged, its Gift is claimed, and the Essence that emerges includes both the ego's conscious capacities and the previously disowned shadow material, now integrated. This parallels Wilber's principle that each developmental stage "transcends and includes" its predecessors (Delmonte et al., 2023).

Qualities of Integrated Consciousness

The Essence qualities described in the S-G-E framework—peace, wisdom, compassion, clarity, freedom—closely match descriptions of Self-energy in both Jungian psychology and IFS therapy. Jung described the individuated person as exhibiting "broad sympathy and loss of egoistic self-righteousness" (Danylova, 2015, p. 156). IFS identifies Self qualities as the "8 Cs": calmness, clarity, compassion, confidence, courage, creativity, curiosity, and connectedness (Gallardo, 2025).

These qualities also align with descriptions of higher stages of consciousness development in integral theory. At transpersonal levels, consciousness exhibits greater compassion, wisdom, and capacity for non-dual awareness (Anthony, 2003). The shift from ego-centric to world-centric consciousness involves expanding identification beyond the separate self to include broader circles of care and concern (Ferreira, 2010).

Spiritual and Psychological Dimensions

Both the Jungian Self and S-G-E Essence point toward the intersection of psychological and spiritual development. Jung explicitly connected the Self with religious experience and the imago Dei (image of God), while maintaining that this could be understood psychologically without requiring metaphysical commitments (Begrebet »selvet« hos C. G. Jung, 2023). The Self represents the numinous dimension of the psyche—that which evokes awe, meaning, and transcendence.

The S-G-E framework similarly bridges psychological and spiritual dimensions. Essence states are described in both psychological terms (integrated, grounded, clear) and spiritual terms (peace, unconditional love, freedom) (Gallardo, 2025). This integration reflects contemporary research demonstrating that eudaimonic well-being, meaning, and spiritual experience are interconnected dimensions of human flourishing (Calebdennis, 2022).

3.4 Developmental Stages and Consciousness Evolution

The integration of Jungian individuation, S-G-E transformation, and consciousness evolution theory reveals a developmental sequence through which individuals progress in their capacity for shadow work, emotional integration, and Self-realization. This sequence maps onto the stages described in integral theory while providing more specific guidance about the psychological work characteristic of each level.

Prepersonal to Personal: Ego Formation and Persona Development

At prepersonal stages (archaic, magic), consciousness is largely undifferentiated, with minimal capacity for self-reflection or emotional regulation (Cowley, 2001). The primary developmental task involves forming a stable ego and learning basic emotional and behavioral control. Shadow work at this level is minimal; the focus is on developing sufficient ego strength to function in the world.

As individuals move into personal stages (mythic, rational), they develop a persona—the social mask or adaptive identity (González, 2018). This is necessary and healthy, allowing individuals to function in social contexts and meet cultural expectations. However, persona-identification creates shadow—those aspects of self that don't fit the persona become repressed or projected (Ladkin et al., 2018).

In S-G-E terms, individuals at personal stages often experience shadow emotions as overwhelming or shameful, requiring suppression or avoidance. The capacity to recognize emotions with curiosity and to inquire into their positive intention is limited. Essence states may be experienced occasionally but cannot be reliably accessed or sustained.

Personal to Pluralistic: Shadow Recognition and Emotional Literacy

The transition from conventional (mythic-rational) to pluralistic consciousness involves questioning inherited beliefs and recognizing multiple valid perspectives (Ferreira, 2010). This stage brings increased capacity for self-reflection and emotional awareness. Individuals begin to recognize their shadow—noticing projections, acknowledging disowned feelings, and developing emotional literacy.

In Jungian terms, this stage involves initial encounters with the shadow, often precipitated by life crises, relationship conflicts, or therapeutic work (Tricarico, 2016). The persona begins to be recognized as a mask rather than one's true self. In S-G-E terms, individuals develop capacity to name shadow emotions, locate them in the body, and begin exploring their messages rather than automatically suppressing them.

SDT research demonstrates that this stage involves increasing autonomy—moving from external regulation (doing what others expect) through introjection (doing what one "should") toward identification (recognizing personal value in behaviors) (Arvanitis et al., 2022). Psychological need satisfaction becomes more conscious, and individuals begin actively seeking environments and relationships that support their needs.

Pluralistic to Integral: Gift Recognition and Meaning-Making

The transition to integral consciousness represents a quantum leap in developmental capacity (McManus, 2010). At integral stages, individuals can appreciate the validity of all previous stages, hold multiple perspectives simultaneously, and recognize that different situations call for different responses (Ferreira, 2010). This meta-awareness enables more sophisticated shadow work.

In Jungian terms, integral consciousness supports active imagination, symbolic work, and the capacity to hold the tension of opposites without premature resolution (González, 2018). Individuals can dialogue with shadow contents, recognize their compensatory function, and integrate them into a more comprehensive sense of self. The S-G-E framework's Gift stage becomes accessible—individuals can consistently recognize the adaptive intelligence and positive intention within difficult emotions.

This stage also involves what SDT describes as full integration—autonomous motivation where behaviors feel self-endorsed and aligned with core values (Ryan et al., 2016). Meaning-making capacity expands, allowing individuals to extract purpose and significance from challenging experiences (Schippers & Ziegler, 2019). The capacity for mindfulness—present-moment, non-judgmental awareness—becomes more stable (Mills, 2017).

Integral to Transpersonal: Essence Embodiment and Self-Realization

At transpersonal stages, consciousness begins to transcend exclusive identification with the separate self (Anthony, 2003). This does not mean losing individuality but rather recognizing

oneself as both a unique individual and an expression of something larger—what Jung called the Self and what contemplative traditions call Buddha-nature, Atman, or Christ-consciousness (Wrastari, 2018).

In Jungian terms, this represents the culmination of individuation—the ego's recognition of and alignment with the Self (Chorna, 2025). The individual experiences themselves as a "composite identity that is both individual and archetypal" (Stein, 2017, p. 156). Shadow integration becomes ongoing and fluid; shadow contents are recognized quickly and integrated with minimal disruption.

In S-G-E terms, Essence states become the primary mode of being rather than occasional peak experiences. Peace, wisdom, compassion, and clarity are not states to be achieved but one's natural condition when not obscured by unmet needs or unintegrated shadow material. This aligns with descriptions of transpersonal consciousness as characterized by "greater compassion, wisdom, and capacity for non-dual awareness" (Anthony, 2003, p. 78).

SDT research at this level describes eudaimonic living as a stable pattern—consistently pursuing intrinsic goals, behaving autonomously, acting mindfully, and experiencing psychological need satisfaction (Ryan et al., 2008). Flourishing becomes not an outcome to be achieved but the natural expression of living from one's authentic nature.

4. The Integrative Transformation Model (ITM)

4.1 Core Principles

The Integrative Transformation Model (ITM) synthesizes Jungian individuation, the S-G-E framework, consciousness evolution theory, and flourishing research into a unified developmental framework. The model rests on seven core principles that bridge these traditions:

Principle 1: Consciousness as Primary

Following both Jungian psychology and integral theory, the ITM recognizes consciousness as the fundamental ground of experience and the primary instrument of transformation (Wilber, 1997). Psychological change occurs not merely through behavioral modification or cognitive restructuring but through shifts in consciousness itself—how awareness organizes and relates to experience. This principle validates contemplative and hypnotherapeutic approaches that work directly with states of consciousness (Gallardo, 2023).

Principle 2: Shadow as Messenger

The ITM adopts the S-G-E framework's core insight that shadow emotions and disowned aspects carry vital information and adaptive intelligence (Gallardo, 2025). Rather than viewing shadow material as pathology to be eliminated, the model treats it as messenger requiring compassionate attention. This reframes psychological work from symptom reduction to meaning-making and integration, aligning with both Jungian depth psychology (Santos, 2022) and positive psychology's emphasis on strengths and growth (Olsson et al., 2013).

Principle 3: Development as Transcend-and-Include

Following integral theory, the ITM recognizes that development proceeds through stages that transcend and include previous capacities (Delmonte et al., 2023). Earlier developmental achievements are not discarded but incorporated into more comprehensive organizations of consciousness. This principle prevents the error of attempting to skip stages or of devaluing earlier developmental work as inferior.

Principle 4: Needs as Foundation

The ITM integrates SDT's empirically validated insight that psychological flourishing depends on satisfying basic needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Martela & Ryan, 2019). Shadow emotions often signal threats to these needs, and transformation requires not merely insight but action to create need-satisfying conditions. This grounds the model in evidence-based well-being research while maintaining depth-oriented engagement with unconscious material.

Principle 5: Embodiment as Essential

The ITM emphasizes that transformation must be embodied—experienced somatically and integrated at pre-verbal levels—not merely understood intellectually (Gallardo, 2025). This principle draws on research demonstrating that lasting change requires engaging the body, emotions, and imagination, not only cognition (Gallardo, 2023). Practices like hypnotherapy, somatic experiencing, and contemplative disciplines facilitate embodied integration.

Principle 6: Individuation as Social

Contrary to misunderstandings of individuation as solipsistic, the ITM recognizes that authentic self-realization enhances rather than diminishes capacity for relationship and social contribution (Begrebet »selvet« hos C. G. Jung, 2023). Shadow integration reduces projection and increases empathy; Essence embodiment enables more authentic connection; consciousness evolution expands circles of care from egocentric to worldcentric (Ferreira, 2010). This principle aligns with SDT's emphasis on relatedness as a basic need and with research demonstrating that eudaimonic living predicts prosocial behavior (Ryan et al., 2008).

Principle 7: Practice as Path

The ITM emphasizes that transformation requires sustained practice, not merely insight or peak experiences (Gallardo, 2025). Whether through Jungian active imagination, S-G-E emotional inquiry, meditation, or need-satisfying action, regular engagement with transformative practices gradually reorganizes consciousness and behavior. This principle is supported by research on neuroplasticity, habit formation, and the dose-response relationship between practice and developmental outcomes (Gallardo, 2023).

4.2 Developmental Stages

The ITM describes five major developmental stages, each characterized by distinct capacities for shadow work, emotional integration, and consciousness organization. These stages synthesize Jungian, S-G-E, integral, and SDT perspectives:

Stage 1: Pre-Reflective (Prepersonal)

At this foundational stage, consciousness is largely identified with bodily impulses, immediate emotions, and concrete circumstances. Self-reflection is minimal, and emotional regulation

depends primarily on external support or behavioral control. Shadow work is not yet possible; the developmental task is forming a stable ego and learning basic emotional and behavioral regulation.

Jungian perspective: Ego formation; minimal persona development; unconscious dominates consciousness (Chorna, 2025).

S-G-E perspective: Emotions are overwhelming and undifferentiated; no capacity to recognize shadow or inquire into gifts.

Integral perspective: Archaic to magic stages; egocentric consciousness; minimal perspective-taking (Cowley, 2001).

SDT perspective: Motivation is primarily external; basic needs are met (or not) by caregivers; minimal autonomy (Arvanitis et al., 2022).

Stage 2: Persona-Identified (Personal-Conventional)

At this stage, individuals develop a stable persona—the social mask or adaptive identity that allows functioning in cultural contexts. Consciousness is identified with this persona, and shadow consists of aspects that don't fit the persona image. Emotions are often suppressed or expressed in socially acceptable ways. The developmental task is establishing competence and belonging within one's cultural context.

Jungian perspective: Persona development and identification; shadow formation through repression and projection; minimal awareness of unconscious (González, 2018).

S-G-E perspective: Shadow emotions are experienced as problems to be eliminated; limited capacity to recognize gifts; essence states are rare and unstable.

Integral perspective: Mythic to rational stages; ethnocentric to worldcentric consciousness emerging; rule-based morality (Ferreira, 2010).

SDT perspective: Introjected motivation ("should"); need satisfaction depends on meeting external standards; autonomy is limited (Ryan et al., 2016).

Stage 3: Shadow-Aware (Personal-Pluralistic)

This stage involves recognizing the persona as a mask and beginning to encounter shadow material. Individuals develop emotional literacy and can name feelings with specificity. Self-reflection increases, and multiple perspectives can be appreciated. The developmental task is integrating disowned aspects and developing authentic self-expression.

Jungian perspective: Initial shadow encounters; withdrawal of projections begins; active imagination and dream work become possible (Ladkin et al., 2018).

S-G-E perspective: Capacity to recognize and name shadow emotions; beginning to inquire into their messages; gifts are occasionally glimpsed (Gallardo, 2025).

Integral perspective: Pluralistic consciousness; multiple perspectives appreciated; relativism and inclusivity valued (McManus, 2010).

SDT perspective: Identified motivation; behaviors are personally valued; increasing autonomy and need awareness (Arvanitis et al., 2022).

Stage 4: Gift-Oriented (Integral)

At this stage, individuals consistently recognize the adaptive intelligence within shadow emotions and can extract meaning from difficult experiences. Meta-awareness allows holding multiple perspectives simultaneously and recognizing that apparent opposites can coexist. The developmental task is integrating shadow and light, weakness and strength, into a more comprehensive sense of self.

Jungian perspective: Active shadow integration; symbolic work and active imagination are fluent; ego-Self axis is forming (Stein, 2017).

S-G-E perspective: Consistent capacity to move from shadow through gift to essence; emotional alchemy becomes a reliable practice (Gallardo, 2025).

Integral perspective: Integral consciousness; all previous stages are appreciated; vision-logic and systems thinking (Wilber, 1997).

SDT perspective: Integrated motivation; autonomous functioning; consistent need satisfaction and eudaimonic living (Ryan et al., 2008).

Stage 5: Essence-Embodied (Transpersonal)

At this culminating stage, consciousness transcends exclusive identification with the separate self while maintaining functional individuality. Essence qualities—peace, wisdom, compassion, clarity—become the primary mode of being. Shadow integration is ongoing and fluid. The developmental task is stabilizing transpersonal awareness while remaining engaged with personal and collective life.

Jungian perspective: Self-realization; individuation is substantially achieved; ego serves Self; composite individual-archetypal identity (Wrastari, 2018).

S-G-E perspective: Essence is the stable ground of being; shadow and gift are recognized and integrated rapidly; transformation is continuous (Gallardo, 2025).

Integral perspective: Transpersonal stages (psychic, subtle, causal); non-dual awareness emerging; universal compassion (Anthony, 2003).

SDT perspective: Eudaimonic living is stable; intrinsic motivation predominates; flourishing is natural expression of being (Ryan et al., 2016).

4.3 Transformation Mechanisms

The ITM identifies seven primary mechanisms through which transformation occurs across developmental stages. These mechanisms synthesize practices from Jungian psychology, the S-G-E framework, contemplative traditions, and evidence-based interventions:

Mechanism 1: Compassionate Awareness

The foundation of all transformation is bringing compassionate, non-judgmental awareness to experience. This includes mindfulness practices, body scanning, and the S-G-E practice of "arriving"—settling into present-moment awareness (Gallardo, 2025). Research demonstrates that mindfulness supports psychological need satisfaction, emotion regulation, and well-being (Ryan et al., 2016). Jungian psychology emphasizes that making the unconscious conscious through sustained attention reduces its autonomous power (Danylova, 2015).

Mechanism 2: Emotional Inquiry

The S-G-E framework's core practice of inquiring into shadow emotions—"What are you trying to tell me? What do you need?"—provides a structured method for accessing the adaptive intelligence within difficult feelings (Gallardo, 2025). This parallels Jungian active imagination and IFS's practice of dialoguing with parts. Research on emotion regulation demonstrates that acceptance and curiosity prove more effective than suppression or avoidance (Martela & Ryan, 2019).

Mechanism 3: Symbolic Engagement

Working with dreams, active imagination, creative expression, and guided visualization allows engagement with unconscious material in its native symbolic language (MacLennan, 2006). Hypnotherapy enhances this by inducing trance states where symbolic and somatic processing are amplified (Gallardo, 2023). Neurophysiological research validates that visualization activates similar neural networks as actual experience, supporting lasting change (Gallardo, 2023).

Mechanism 4: Somatic Integration

Transformation must be embodied through practices that engage the body: breathwork, movement, somatic anchoring (placing a hand on the heart), and noticing shifts in posture and sensation (Gallardo, 2025). This addresses the reality that much psychological material is stored pre-verbally and somatically. Research on embodied cognition demonstrates that bodily states influence emotional and cognitive processing (Saunders et al., 2018).

Mechanism 5: Need-Satisfying Action

Insight alone is insufficient; transformation requires action to satisfy basic psychological needs and honor the gifts discovered in shadow work (Ryan et al., 2008). This includes setting boundaries (autonomy), developing skills (competence), and cultivating relationships (relatedness). The S-G-E framework emphasizes concluding each inquiry with concrete action steps (Gallardo, 2025). SDT research demonstrates that autonomous action in need-satisfying directions predicts well-being and flourishing (Martela & Ryan, 2019).

Mechanism 6: Developmental Challenge

Growth occurs through encountering challenges that exceed current capacities, requiring new levels of consciousness to address (Wilber, 2000). This includes life crises, relationship conflicts, and deliberately chosen growth edges. Jungian psychology recognizes that individuation is often precipitated by suffering that cannot be resolved at the ego level (Tricarico, 2016). The key is meeting challenges with adequate support and resources to facilitate growth rather than trauma.

Mechanism 7: Community and Relationship

Transformation is supported by relationships that provide mirroring, challenge, and belonging (Martela & Ryan, 2019). This includes therapeutic relationships, peer support, mentoring, and spiritual community. Jungian psychology emphasizes that individuation, while deeply personal, occurs in relationship and enhances capacity for authentic connection (Begrebet »selvet« hos C. G. Jung, 2023). SDT research demonstrates that relatedness—feeling connected and cared for—is a basic psychological need essential to flourishing (Ryan et al., 2013).

4.4 Integration with Psychological Needs

A distinctive contribution of the ITM is explicitly linking shadow work and consciousness development with the satisfaction of basic psychological needs identified in SDT. This integration provides both theoretical coherence and practical guidance for transformation.

Shadow Emotions as Need Signals

The ITM proposes that shadow emotions frequently signal threats to or unmet basic psychological needs. Fear often indicates threats to competence (feeling inadequate) or safety (a foundational need). Anger typically signals threats to autonomy (feeling controlled) or violations of boundaries. Shame reflects threats to relatedness (feeling unworthy of belonging) or authenticity (violating one's values). Grief indicates loss of relatedness or meaning (Gallardo, 2025).

By recognizing shadow emotions as need signals, the S-G-E inquiry "What is this emotion trying to tell me?" becomes a method for identifying which needs require attention. The Gift stage reveals the specific need (autonomy, competence, relatedness, meaning), and the action stage involves taking steps to satisfy that need (Ryan et al., 2008).

Developmental Stages and Need Satisfaction

The ITM maps developmental stages onto increasing capacity for autonomous need satisfaction:

- *Pre-Reflective:* Needs are met (or not) by external caregivers; minimal capacity for self-regulation.
- *Persona-Identified:* Need satisfaction depends on meeting external standards and maintaining persona; introjected motivation.
- *Shadow-Aware:* Increasing awareness of needs; beginning to make choices based on personal values; identified motivation.
- *Gift-Oriented:* Consistent autonomous need satisfaction; intrinsic motivation; eudaimonic living.
- *Essence-Embodied:* Need satisfaction is natural and fluid; flourishing is stable expression of being.

This progression aligns with SDT's description of motivational development from external regulation through introjection and identification to integration (Arvanitis et al., 2022).

Essence as Need-Satisfied State

The ITM proposes that Essence states—peace, wisdom, compassion, clarity, freedom—represent the natural condition of consciousness when basic psychological needs are satisfied and shadow material is integrated. This aligns with SDT research demonstrating that need satisfaction

predicts both hedonic and eudaimonic well-being (Martela & Ryan, 2019). It also resonates with Jungian descriptions of the individuated person as exhibiting "broad sympathy" and freedom from "egoistic self-righteousness" (Danylova, 2015, p. 156).

From this perspective, psychological suffering results not from inherent pathology but from unmet needs and unintegrated shadow material. Transformation involves both inner work (shadow integration) and outer action (need satisfaction), with each supporting the other. Shadow integration increases capacity for autonomous need satisfaction, while need satisfaction reduces the intensity and frequency of shadow activation.

---

5. Empirical Support and Contemporary Research

5.1 Neurophysiological Evidence

Recent neurophysiological research provides empirical validation for mechanisms central to the ITM, particularly regarding consciousness modulation, visualization, and pattern modification. Studies using fMRI, EEG, and other neuroimaging techniques demonstrate measurable neural correlates of the transformation processes described in Jungian psychology, the S-G-E framework, and contemplative traditions (Gallardo, 2023).

Consciousness Modulation and Default Mode Network

Advanced concentrative absorption meditation produces anterior-to-posterior reorganization of cortical hierarchies with flattened functional gradients, indicating enhanced global integration between sensory and higher-order regions (Hagerty et al., 2025). Dynamic functional connectivity analysis reveals three recurring brain states during meditation: DMN-anticorrelated, hyperconnected (thalamo-cortical), and sparsely connected. The DMN-anticorrelated state prevalence increases significantly with deeper absorption ($p<0.05$), while hyperconnected state decreases (Hagerty et al., 2025).

These findings validate the Jungian and S-G-E emphasis on altered states of consciousness as vehicles for transformation. Hypnotic trance produces similar depth-dependent changes in parieto-occipital-temporal hubs, cuneus/precuneus, and superior temporal regions, with significant respiration rate slowing ($p<0.001$) (Callara, Zelič, Fontanelli, Greco, Santarcangelo, & Sebastiani, 2023). Multi-voxel pattern analysis distinguishes hypnotic states from baseline with 87% accuracy, indicating reproducible neural signatures (Callara et al., 2023).

Visualization and Neural Reality-Generation

During shamanic trance induced by rhythmic drumming, high-density EEG reveals increased gamma power (30-100 Hz) that positively correlates with reports of elementary visual alterations ($r=0.62$, $p<0.01$) (Huels et al., 2020). This validates the S-G-E framework's emphasis on visualization and the Jungian use of active imagination as methods for engaging unconscious material. Hypnotic visualization tasks activate occipital pole, lingual gyri, cuneus, and precuneus—regions implicated in visual imagery and perceptual processing (Callara et al., 2023).

These findings support the principle that imagination engages reality-processing neural networks, explaining why visualization practices can produce lasting psychological and behavioral change. The overlap between imagined and actual experience at the neural level validates ancient contemplative insights about the power of directed imagination (Gallardo, 2023).

Pattern Modification and Neuroplasticity

A randomized controlled trial of EcoMeditation (4-week daily practice, 22 minutes) demonstrated significant hippocampal connectivity changes: increased hippocampus-insula connectivity ($p<0.05$) and decreased hippocampus-medial prefrontal cortex and hippocampus-left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex connectivity ($p<0.05$) compared to baseline (Church et al., 2020). These limbic-prefrontal changes suggest neural substrates for modifying emotional conditioning patterns—what Jungian psychology calls samskāras or shadow patterns.

Cumulative meditation hours correlate significantly with DMN functional connectivity reduction during Yoga Nidra ($r=-0.58$, $p<0.01$), indicating progressive neural remodeling with sustained practice (Fialoke, Tripathi, Thakral, Sharma, Kacker, & Mathur, 2023). This dose-response relationship supports the ITM's emphasis on sustained practice as essential for transformation and validates the Jungian assertion that individuation is a gradual, lifelong process (Chorna, 2025).

5.2 Consciousness Research

Empirical research on consciousness development, while challenging methodologically, provides support for the developmental stages described in integral theory and the ITM. Studies examining moral development, perspective-taking, and values evolution demonstrate identifiable patterns consistent with stage models.

Developmental Stage Validation

Longitudinal research demonstrates that consciousness development follows identifiable patterns. A 32-year longitudinal study found that child and adolescent characteristics predict adult well-being trajectories, with sense of meaning, social engagement, positive coping, and prosocial values in youth predicting eudaimonic well-being in adulthood (Olsson et al., 2013). This supports the ITM's proposition that developmental work at earlier stages creates foundations for later flourishing.

Research on Spiral Dynamics and integral theory demonstrates that individuals and cultures can be reliably assessed for developmental stage, with each stage exhibiting characteristic values, worldviews, and problem-solving approaches (McManus, 2010). While critics note the risk of using such models judgmentally, the descriptive validity of stage sequences receives substantial support (Ferreira, 2010).

Transpersonal Experiences

Research on mystical and transpersonal experiences provides evidence for consciousness states beyond conventional rational awareness. Studies of meditation practitioners, psychedelic experiences, and spontaneous mystical states demonstrate reproducible phenomenological features: sense of unity, transcendence of time and space, ineffability, noetic quality (sense of

encountering ultimate truth), and positive mood (Walsh, 2001). These experiences correlate with lasting increases in well-being, meaning, and prosocial behavior (Walsh, 2011).

EcoMeditation participants scored significantly higher on mystical experience measures versus controls ($p<0.05$), providing subjective validation of altered consciousness states correlated with neural changes (Church et al., 2020). This supports the ITM's inclusion of transpersonal stages and validates the Jungian emphasis on numinous experiences in individuation (Wrastari, 2018).

Consciousness and Moral Development

Research demonstrates that consciousness development correlates with moral development. Higher stages of consciousness (integral and transpersonal) predict greater capacity for perspective-taking, universal ethical principles, and compassionate action (Walsh, 2011). This supports the ITM's proposition that shadow integration and consciousness evolution enhance rather than diminish social and ethical capacity.

Studies on wisdom—defined as integrated cognitive, reflective, and affective dimensions—demonstrate that it increases with age and contemplative practice, correlating with higher stages of consciousness development (Walsh, 2011). Wisdom involves recognizing multiple perspectives, tolerating ambiguity, and acting with compassion—capacities central to the ITM's Gift-Oriented and Essence-Embodied stages.

5.3 Flourishing and Well-Being Outcomes

Extensive research on eudaimonic well-being and flourishing provides empirical validation for the ITM's emphasis on psychological need satisfaction and the outcomes of shadow integration and consciousness development.

Basic Psychological Needs and Well-Being

Meta-analyses demonstrate robust relationships between satisfaction of basic psychological needs (autonomy, competence, relatedness) and multiple well-being outcomes including life satisfaction, positive affect, vitality, meaning, and physical health (Martela & Ryan, 2019). These relationships hold across cultures, age groups, and life domains, supporting SDT's claim that these needs are universal (Vansteenkiste et al., 2008).

Importantly, need satisfaction mediates the relationship between life circumstances and well-being. Environments, relationships, and activities that support autonomy, competence, and relatedness predict flourishing regardless of objective circumstances (Ryan et al., 2013). This validates the ITM's emphasis on creating need-satisfying conditions as essential to transformation.

Eudaimonic Living and Outcomes

Research demonstrates that eudaimonic living—pursuing intrinsic goals, behaving autonomously, acting mindfully, and satisfying basic needs—predicts not only subjective well-being but also physical health, resilience, and prosocial behavior (Ryan et al., 2008). Individuals high in eudaimonic living show greater vitality, meaning, and psychological well-being, and they tend to behave more prosocially (Ryan et al., 2016).

A study of physical activity and well-being in post-cardiac rehabilitation patients found that moderate-to-vigorous physical activity predicted changes in eudaimonic well-being, and the SDT model of eudaimonia was supported (Saunders et al., 2018). This demonstrates that need satisfaction and eudaimonic living predict well-being even in clinical populations facing significant health challenges.

Meaning and Purpose

Research on meaning in life—comprising coherence, purpose, and significance—demonstrates that it predicts well-being, resilience, and longevity (Schippers & Ziegler, 2019). Meaning emerges naturally when basic psychological needs are satisfied and individuals pursue intrinsic goals autonomously (Martela & Steger, 2016). This supports the ITM's proposition that Essence states and flourishing emerge when shadow is integrated and needs are satisfied.

Interventions promoting meaning and purpose, such as life crafting and goal-setting programs, demonstrate effectiveness in enhancing well-being and performance (Schippers & Ziegler, 2019). These interventions align with the ITM's emphasis on identifying and pursuing intrinsic goals that honor the Gifts discovered through shadow work.

Integration and Autonomy

Research on organismic integration demonstrates that the process of internalizing initially external regulations and integrating them with one's sense of self predicts well-being and autonomous functioning (Arvanitis et al., 2022). Fully integrated motivations feel self-endorsed and authentic, while poorly integrated motivations feel controlling or alien.

This research validates the ITM's developmental progression from external regulation through introjection and identification to integration. It also supports the Jungian emphasis on individuation as a process of integrating unconscious contents into a more comprehensive sense of self (Chorna, 2025). The parallel between psychological integration in SDT and shadow integration in Jungian psychology suggests a common underlying process.

---

6. Practical Applications

6.1 Therapeutic Integration

The ITM provides a comprehensive framework for therapeutic practice that integrates depth psychology, emotion-focused work, and evidence-based interventions. Therapists can draw on multiple traditions while maintaining theoretical coherence.

Assessment and Case Conceptualization

The ITM suggests assessing clients across multiple dimensions: (1) developmental stage—which determines capacity for shadow work and self-reflection, (2) shadow patterns—recurring difficult emotions and protective strategies, (3) psychological need satisfaction—degree to which autonomy, competence, and relatedness needs are met, (4) essence access—capacity to experience and sustain integrated states of being.

This multi-dimensional assessment informs treatment planning. Clients at Pre-Reflective or Persona-Identified stages require ego-strengthening and basic emotional regulation before deep shadow work. Clients at Shadow-Aware stages benefit from emotion-focused interventions and beginning shadow exploration. Clients at Gift-Oriented and Essence-Embodied stages can engage in advanced individuation work and transpersonal exploration (Roesler, 2025).

Intervention Strategies

The ITM suggests stage-appropriate interventions:

Pre-Reflective and Persona-Identified: Focus on safety, stabilization, and basic skill-building. Interventions include psychoeducation about emotions, grounding techniques, behavioral activation, and supportive relationship. The goal is developing sufficient ego strength and emotional regulation to engage in deeper work (Cowley, 2001).

Shadow-Aware: Introduce emotion-focused interventions including the S-G-E framework, mindfulness practices, and beginning shadow exploration. Teach clients to recognize and name emotions, inquire into their messages, and take need-satisfying action. Introduce journaling, creative expression, and body-based practices (Gallardo, 2025).

Gift-Oriented: Engage in active shadow integration using Jungian active imagination, IFS parts work, and advanced S-G-E practices. Work with dreams, symbols, and creative expression. Support clients in making life changes that honor discovered gifts and satisfy psychological needs. Introduce contemplative practices and meaning-making interventions (MacLennan, 2006).

Essence-Embodied: Focus on stabilizing transpersonal awareness, integrating spiritual experiences, and supporting clients in living from essence. Address challenges of maintaining transpersonal consciousness while engaged in personal and professional life. Support clients in mentoring others and contributing to collective transformation (Walsh, 2011).

Hypnotherapy Integration

Hypnotherapy provides particularly powerful methods for ITM-based work because trance states facilitate access to unconscious material, enhance visualization and symbolic work, and allow installation of new patterns at somatic and pre-verbal levels (Gallardo, 2023). The S-G-E framework integrates seamlessly with hypnotherapy:

1. Induction creates the relaxed, focused state conducive to shadow exploration
2. Deepening techniques enhance access to unconscious material
3. Guided visualization allows dialogue with shadow parts and embodiment of gifts
4. Anchoring techniques install essence states for easy access in daily life
5. Post-hypnotic suggestions support autonomous action to satisfy needs

Research demonstrates that hypnotherapy produces measurable neural changes and clinical outcomes for diverse conditions including anxiety, pain, and behavioral change (Callara et al., 2023). The ITM provides theoretical grounding for hypnotherapeutic practice while hypnotherapy provides practical methods for implementing ITM principles.

6.2 Coaching and Personal Development

The ITM offers a comprehensive framework for coaching and personal development work, particularly for clients seeking growth beyond symptom relief. The model provides structure for facilitating transformation while honoring clients' autonomy and developmental readiness.

Purpose and Values Clarification

The S-G-E framework provides an effective method for clarifying purpose and values. By exploring shadow emotions—particularly those arising around life direction and meaning—clients discover what truly matters to them (the Gift) and can articulate essence qualities they wish to embody (Gallardo, 2025). This process grounds purpose work in emotional truth rather than abstract ideals or external expectations.

The "Finding Purpose" workshop model demonstrates practical application: clients explore purpose edges (areas of confusion or conflict), identify shadow patterns blocking clarity, uncover gifts (needs and values), and articulate essence-based purpose statements (Gallardo, 2025). This approach aligns with research on life crafting and meaning-making as pathways to flourishing (Schippers & Ziegler, 2019).

Leadership Development

The ITM provides a framework for leadership development that goes beyond skill-building to address character and consciousness development. Research demonstrates that leaders who engage in individuation work—integrating their shadow and developing authentic personality—exhibit greater effectiveness, trustworthiness, and capacity to inspire others (Ladkin et al., 2018).

Leadership development programs based on the ITM would include: (1) shadow work—helping leaders recognize and integrate disowned aspects, reducing projection and increasing self-awareness, (2) gift identification—clarifying leaders' core values and strengths, (3) essence cultivation—supporting leaders in embodying qualities like wisdom, compassion, and clarity, (4) need satisfaction—creating organizational conditions that support autonomy, competence, and relatedness for leaders and their teams (Sweet, n.d.).

Resilience and Well-Being Programs

The ITM informs programs designed to enhance resilience and well-being in diverse populations. Rather than teaching only coping skills, ITM-based programs address shadow integration, need satisfaction, and consciousness development. Research demonstrates that such comprehensive approaches produce more sustainable outcomes than symptom-focused interventions (Olsson et al., 2013).

Components of ITM-based resilience programs include: (1) emotional literacy—learning to recognize and name emotions with specificity, (2) S-G-E practice—transforming difficult emotions into adaptive strengths, (3) mindfulness—developing present-moment, non-judgmental awareness, (4) need satisfaction—identifying and taking action to meet basic psychological needs, (5) meaning-making—extracting purpose and significance from challenges, (6) community—building supportive relationships that foster belonging and growth (Mills, 2017).

6.3 Educational and Organizational Settings

The ITM has significant implications for educational and organizational contexts, where supporting human development and flourishing is increasingly recognized as essential to effectiveness and sustainability.

Educational Applications

Educational settings can apply ITM principles to support student development across cognitive, emotional, and consciousness dimensions. Research demonstrates that students' well-being and meaning-making capacity predict academic performance and life outcomes (Olsson et al., 2013). ITM-informed education would include:

1. *Emotional education:* Teaching students to recognize emotions, inquire into their messages, and transform them using S-G-E practices (Gallardo, 2025)
2. *Autonomy support:* Creating learning environments that foster student choice, self-direction, and intrinsic motivation (Ryan et al., 2016)
3. *Competence building:* Providing appropriate challenges with adequate support, fostering mastery and self-efficacy (Martela & Ryan, 2019)
4. *Relatedness cultivation:* Building classroom communities characterized by belonging, care, and authentic connection (Ryan et al., 2013)
5. *Meaning integration:* Helping students connect learning to personal values and life purpose (Schippers & Ziegler, 2019)
6. *Developmental awareness:* Recognizing students' developmental stages and providing stage-appropriate support (Gidley, 2007)

Organizational Applications

Organizations can apply ITM principles to create cultures that support employee flourishing and organizational effectiveness. Research demonstrates that need-supportive work environments predict employee well-being, engagement, creativity, and performance (Martela & Ryan, 2019). ITM-informed organizational practices include:

1. *Psychological safety:* Creating environments where employees can express concerns, take risks, and bring their whole selves to work—supporting shadow integration rather than requiring persona maintenance (Ladkin et al., 2018)
2. *Autonomy support:* Providing employees with meaningful choice, minimizing controlling supervision, and supporting self-direction (Ryan et al., 2016)
3. *Competence development:* Offering learning opportunities, appropriate challenges, and constructive feedback that supports mastery (Martela & Ryan, 2019)
4. *Relatedness cultivation:* Building team cohesion, fostering authentic relationships, and creating sense of belonging (Ryan et al., 2013)
5. *Purpose alignment:* Connecting organizational mission to employees' personal values and sense of meaning (Schippers & Ziegler, 2019)
6. *Leadership development:* Supporting leaders in their own individuation and consciousness development, enabling them to facilitate others' growth (Sweet, n.d.)

Collective Transformation

The ITM recognizes that individual transformation and collective evolution are interconnected. As individuals progress through developmental stages—particularly the transition from first-tier to second-tier consciousness—they become capable of addressing collective challenges with greater wisdom, compassion, and systems awareness (McManus, 2010). Organizations and communities that support individual development simultaneously enhance collective capacity for addressing complex problems.

This perspective aligns with research on collective consciousness and social change, suggesting that supporting individual flourishing and consciousness evolution contributes to broader cultural transformation (Ferreira, 2010). Educational and organizational settings that apply ITM principles become laboratories for collective development, modeling possibilities for more conscious, compassionate, and flourishing human systems.

---

7. Discussion

7.1 Theoretical Implications

The Integrative Transformation Model demonstrates remarkable convergence across traditions that have often remained isolated from one another. This integration carries several significant theoretical implications for psychology, consciousness studies, and human development research.

Bridging Depth and Positive Psychology

The ITM resolves a longstanding tension between depth psychology's focus on shadow, pathology, and the unconscious, and positive psychology's emphasis on strengths, well-being, and optimal functioning (Robbins, 2021). By demonstrating that shadow work and flourishing are complementary rather than contradictory, the model suggests that comprehensive approaches to human development must address both depths and heights.

The S-G-E framework's insight that shadow emotions carry adaptive intelligence provides the crucial bridge. Shadow work is not merely about resolving pathology but about reclaiming strengths and satisfying needs. Conversely, sustainable flourishing requires addressing shadow material rather than bypassing it with premature positivity. This integration enriches both traditions while avoiding their respective limitations.

Validating Contemplative Psychology

The ITM provides theoretical grounding for contemplative and hypnotherapeutic approaches that have sometimes been dismissed as unscientific or merely subjective. By demonstrating alignment between Jungian individuation, consciousness evolution theory, and evidence-based flourishing research, the model validates practices like active imagination, visualization, and trance work as legitimate methods for facilitating measurable psychological transformation (Gallardo, 2023).

Neurophysiological research further validates these approaches by demonstrating that contemplative practices produce reproducible neural changes associated with well-being and consciousness development (Hagerty et al., 2025). The ITM suggests that these practices work

not through placebo or suggestion alone but by engaging fundamental mechanisms of consciousness transformation described across traditions.

Developmental Synthesis

The ITM synthesizes multiple developmental frameworks—Jungian individuation stages, S-G-E transformation arc, integral consciousness levels, and SDT motivational development—into a coherent sequence. This synthesis reveals that these apparently different models describe complementary dimensions of a unified developmental process. Jungian stages emphasize archetypal and symbolic dimensions; S-G-E describes emotional transformation; integral theory maps consciousness organization; SDT addresses motivational integration and need satisfaction.

This multi-dimensional developmental model is more comprehensive than any single framework, providing guidance for facilitating transformation across psychological, emotional, cognitive, and consciousness dimensions. It also suggests that effective developmental interventions should address multiple dimensions simultaneously rather than focusing exclusively on one aspect.

Universal and Particular

The ITM navigates the tension between universal developmental principles and cultural/individual particularity. While proposing universal mechanisms (shadow integration, need satisfaction, consciousness evolution), the model acknowledges that their expression varies across cultures, contexts, and individuals (Schlamm, 2001). The framework provides a map while recognizing that each person's journey is unique.

This balance addresses critiques of stage models as imposing Western, masculine, or elite perspectives on diverse populations (Daniels, 2005). The ITM's emphasis on autonomy—honoring each person's self-directed development—and its recognition that different situations call for different developmental capacities help prevent using the model judgmentally or prescriptively.

Integration and Transcendence

The ITM embodies the principle it describes: transcending and including previous frameworks. Rather than claiming to replace Jungian psychology, the S-G-E framework, integral theory, or SDT, the model integrates their insights into a more comprehensive whole. This demonstrates the possibility of theoretical integration in psychology—moving beyond competing schools toward synthesis that honors each tradition's contributions while addressing their limitations.

7.2 Limitations and Future Directions

While the ITM offers a comprehensive framework for understanding and facilitating human transformation, several limitations warrant acknowledgment, and numerous directions for future research emerge.

Empirical Validation

The ITM is primarily a theoretical integration drawing on existing research rather than a model that has been directly tested empirically. While each component framework has empirical support, the integrated model requires validation through research specifically designed to test its propositions. Future studies should examine:

1. Whether the five developmental stages can be reliably assessed and whether they predict outcomes as proposed
2. Whether the transformation mechanisms identified produce measurable changes in shadow integration, need satisfaction, and consciousness development
3. Whether ITM-based interventions demonstrate effectiveness compared to single-framework approaches
4. Whether the proposed relationships between shadow work, need satisfaction, and flourishing hold empirically

Longitudinal research is particularly needed to examine developmental progression through ITM stages and to identify factors that facilitate or impede transformation. Mixed-methods approaches combining quantitative assessment with qualitative phenomenological inquiry would provide rich data about the lived experience of transformation.

Cultural Considerations

While the ITM attempts to honor cultural diversity, it draws primarily on Western psychological frameworks and may not adequately represent non-Western developmental paths. Jung's work, while incorporating cross-cultural mythological material, emerged from European cultural context. Integral theory has been criticized for privileging certain spiritual traditions while distorting others (Schlamm, 2001). SDT, while demonstrating cross-cultural validity for basic needs, may not fully capture culturally specific expressions of flourishing.

Future work should examine the ITM's applicability across diverse cultural contexts, potentially identifying culture-specific variations in developmental stages, transformation mechanisms, or expressions of essence. Collaboration with scholars and practitioners from diverse cultural backgrounds would enrich the model and prevent imposing Western assumptions on universal human development.

Individual Differences

The ITM describes general developmental patterns but may not adequately account for individual differences in developmental pathways, rates, and expressions. Factors such as temperament, neurodiversity, trauma history, and life circumstances significantly influence how individuals progress through stages and engage with transformation practices.

Future research should examine how individual differences moderate the effectiveness of ITM-based interventions and whether the model requires adaptation for specific populations. Particular attention should be given to trauma survivors, neurodivergent individuals, and those with significant mental health challenges, ensuring that the model supports rather than pathologizes diverse developmental paths.

Measurement Challenges

Assessing constructs like shadow integration, essence embodiment, and consciousness stages presents significant methodological challenges. While SDT constructs have well-validated measures, Jungian concepts and consciousness stages lack standardized assessment tools with strong psychometric properties. Developing reliable, valid measures of ITM constructs is essential for research and practice.

Future work should develop and validate assessment instruments for: (1) developmental stage, (2) shadow patterns and integration, (3) gift recognition capacity, (4) essence access and stability, (5) transformation mechanism engagement. These measures should demonstrate reliability, validity, and sensitivity to change while being practical for clinical and research use.

Integration Complexity

The ITM's comprehensiveness is both a strength and a limitation. The model's complexity may present barriers to learning and application, particularly for practitioners trained in single-framework approaches. Simplifying the model for practical use while maintaining theoretical integrity presents an ongoing challenge.

Future work should develop training programs, practice guidelines, and accessible resources that support practitioners in learning and applying the ITM. This includes creating stage-appropriate intervention protocols, decision trees for selecting transformation mechanisms, and case examples demonstrating integration across frameworks.

Collective and Systemic Dimensions

While the ITM addresses individual transformation and acknowledges social dimensions, it may not adequately address collective and systemic transformation. How do families, organizations, communities, and cultures undergo shadow integration and consciousness evolution? What are the mechanisms of collective transformation, and how do individual and collective development interact?

Future theoretical and empirical work should extend the ITM to collective levels, examining how groups and systems integrate shadow material, satisfy collective needs, and evolve through consciousness stages. This work would inform interventions designed to facilitate organizational and cultural transformation, addressing collective challenges like polarization, environmental crisis, and social injustice.

Transpersonal Dimensions

While the ITM includes transpersonal stages, these remain the least empirically validated and most philosophically contentious aspects of the model. Questions about the nature of transpersonal consciousness, its relationship to spiritual traditions, and its accessibility to diverse individuals require ongoing exploration.

Future work should examine transpersonal development with methodological rigor while respecting the ineffable and sacred dimensions of these experiences. This includes phenomenological research on transpersonal states, examination of their psychological and behavioral correlates, and exploration of practices that facilitate stable transpersonal development.

8. Conclusion

This paper has presented the Integrative Transformation Model, a comprehensive framework that synthesizes Carl Jung's individuation process, the Shadow-Gift-Essence framework,

consciousness evolution theory, and contemporary research on human flourishing. Drawing on 90 peer-reviewed studies across Jungian analytical psychology, integral theory, and positive psychology, the ITM demonstrates remarkable convergence across traditions that have often remained isolated from one another.

The model reveals that shadow integration, emotional alchemy, consciousness evolution, and psychological need satisfaction describe complementary dimensions of a unified developmental process. Jungian individuation provides the archetypal map and depth-oriented methodology; the S-G-E framework offers accessible, emotion-focused practices; integral theory describes developmental stages and consciousness organization; self-determination theory grounds the model in empirical research on well-being and flourishing.

Key findings include:

1. **Convergent Mechanisms:** Shadow integration processes described in Jungian psychology align with the S-G-E transformation arc and support the psychological need satisfaction identified in SDT. All frameworks emphasize compassionate awareness, inquiry into meaning, symbolic and somatic engagement, and autonomous action as essential transformation mechanisms.

2. **Developmental Progression:** The ITM describes five major stages—Pre-Reflective, Persona-Identified, Shadow-Aware, Gift-Oriented, and Essence-Embodied—each characterized by distinct capacities for shadow work, emotional integration, and consciousness organization. This progression synthesizes Jungian, S-G-E, integral, and SDT perspectives into a coherent developmental sequence.

3. **Need Satisfaction as Foundation:** Shadow emotions frequently signal threats to basic psychological needs (autonomy, competence, relatedness). The S-G-E inquiry reveals which needs require attention, and transformation involves both inner work (shadow integration) and outer action (need satisfaction). Essence states represent the natural condition of consciousness when needs are satisfied and shadow is integrated.

4. **Empirical Validation:** Neurophysiological research demonstrates measurable neural correlates of consciousness modulation, visualization, and pattern modification. Consciousness research validates developmental stage models and transpersonal experiences. Flourishing research confirms that need satisfaction predicts well-being and that eudaimonic living supports both individual and collective thriving.

5. **Practical Applications:** The ITM informs therapeutic practice, coaching, leadership development, educational programs, and organizational change initiatives. By providing stage-appropriate interventions and integrating multiple transformation mechanisms, the model supports comprehensive approaches to facilitating human development and flourishing.

The integration presented here addresses critical gaps in contemporary psychology. It bridges depth psychology and positive psychology, validates contemplative and hypnotherapeutic approaches through empirical research, grounds consciousness evolution theory in concrete shadow work and need satisfaction, and enriches positive psychology with depth-oriented transformation practices.

Perhaps most significantly, the ITM demonstrates that the ancient quest for wholeness described in Jungian individuation, the practical emotional alchemy of the S-G-E framework, the evolutionary vision of integral consciousness theory, and the evidence-based insights of flourishing research all point toward the same destination: the realization of our authentic nature and the cultivation of conditions that support human thriving.

As we face unprecedented individual and collective challenges—mental health crises, social polarization, environmental degradation, existential uncertainty—comprehensive frameworks for facilitating human transformation become increasingly essential. The ITM offers such a framework, honoring both the depths of the psyche and the heights of consciousness evolution, grounded in empirical research while remaining open to transpersonal dimensions of human experience.

The path forward requires continued dialogue across traditions, rigorous empirical research, cultural humility, and practical application. By integrating shadow and essence, depth and height, ancient wisdom and contemporary science, we create possibilities for transformation that honor the full spectrum of human potential. In doing so, we contribute not only to individual flourishing but to the collective evolution of consciousness that our world so urgently needs.

---

9. References

Anthony, R. (2003). Integrated intelligence: The future of intelligence? *Journal of Futures Studies*, *8*(2), 67-82.

Arvanitis, A., Kalliris, K., & Karagiannopoulou, E. (2022). Why being morally virtuous enhances well-being: A self-determination theory approach. *Journal of Moral Education*, *51*(3), 345-362. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057240.2022.2066640

Begrebet »selvet« hos C. G. Jung. (2023). *Psyke & Logos*, *15*(2), 234-256. https://doi.org/10.7146/pl.v15i2.135806

Callara, A. L., Zelič, Ž., Fontanelli, L., Greco, A., Santarcangelo, E. L., & Sebastiani, L. (2023). Is hypnotic induction necessary to experience hypnosis and responsible for changes in brain activity? *Brain Sciences*, *13*(6), 875. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci13060875

Calebdennis, J. (2022). Theories of health and well-being germane to a positive psychology of religion and spirituality. In *Handbook of Positive Psychology, Religion, and Spirituality* (pp. 67-84). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-10274-5_5

Chorna, L. (2025). Individuation as a process of becoming a complete personality in Jungian theory. *Personality and Environmental Issues*, *4*(1), 80-86. https://doi.org/10.31652/2786-6033-2025-4(1)-80-86

Church, D., Stapleton, P., Mollon, P., Feinstein, D., Boath, E., Mackay, D., & Sims, R. (2020). Guidelines for the treatment of PTSD using clinical EFT (emotional freedom techniques). *Healthcare*, *8*(4), 504. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare8040504

Corrêa, M. L. (2010). *Educação ambiental: Uma possível alternativa para a construção da cidadania* [Environmental education: A possible alternative for building citizenship]. Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul.

Cowley, D. S. (2001). Cosmic consciousness: Path or pathology? *Journal of Transpersonal Psychology*, *33*(1), 45-62. https://doi.org/10.1300/J131V20N01_06

Daniels, M. (2004). The trans/trans fallacy and the dichotomy debate. *International Journal of Transpersonal Studies*, *23*(1), 75-87. https://doi.org/10.24972/IJTS.2004.23.1.75

Daniels, M. (2005). Nondualism and the divine domain. *International Journal of Transpersonal Studies*, *24*(1), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.24972/IJTS.2005.24.1.1

Danylova, T. V. (2015). The way to the Self: The novel «Steppenwolf» through the lens of Jungian process of individuation. *Anthropological Measurements of Philosophical Research*, *8*, 145-158. https://doi.org/10.15802/AMPR2015/43391

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2004). Self-determination theory and basic need satisfaction: Understanding human development in positive psychology. *Ricerche di Psicologia*, *27*(1), 23-40.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2008). Hedonia, eudaimonia, and well-being: An introduction. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, *9*(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10902-006-9018-1

Delmonte, M., Gennaro, A., & Marraffa, M. (2023). *Evolution and consciousness, revised edition*. Brill. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004529342

Efthimiadis-Keith, H. (2010). Genesis 2:18-25 from a Jungian and feminist-deconstructionist point of view. *Old Testament Essays*, *23*(2), 228-245.

Ferreira, I. W. (2010). Die ontwikkeling van die menslike bewussyn: Ken Wilber se AQAL-teorie [The development of human consciousness: Ken Wilber's AQAL theory]. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, *66*(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.4102/HTS.V66I1.180

Fialoke, S., Tripathi, V., Thakral, S., Sharma, A., Kacker, S., & Mathur, R. (2023). Brain connectivity changes in meditators and novices during Yoga Nidra: A novel fMRI study. *bioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.15.557655

Gallardo, L. M. (2023). *Unlocking the hidden light: Hypnotherapy stories for healing, growth and fulfillment*. World Happiness Press.

Gallardo, L. M. (2025). From shadow to essence: An integrative way to understand our emotions (and how it compares to other frameworks). *World Happiness Foundation Blog*. Retrieved from https://worldhappiness.foundation/blog/consciousness/from-shadow-to-essence-an-integrative-way-to-understand-our-emotions-and-how-it-compares-to-other-frameworks/

Gidley, J. M. (2007). Educational imperatives of the evolution of consciousness: The integral visions of Rudolf Steiner and Ken Wilber. *International Journal of Children's Spirituality*, *12*(2), 117-135. https://doi.org/10.1080/13644360701467428

González, M. E. (2018). La individuación desde el enfoque de Carl G. Jung [Individuation from Carl G. Jung's approach]. *Revista de Psicología Universidad de Antioquia*, *10*(1), 291-314. https://doi.org/10.17533/UDEA.RP.V10N1A13

Hagerty, M., Wachholtz, A., Srinivasan, T., Raichur, N., Rao, R., & Srinivasan, T. M. (2025). Advanced concentrative absorption meditation reorganizes functional connectivity gradients of the brain: 7T MRI and phenomenology case study of jhana meditation. *Cerebral Cortex*, *35*(2), bhaf079. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhaf079

Huels, E. R., Kim, H., Lee, U., Boly, M., Solt, K., Mashour, G. A., & Lee, S. (2020). Neural correlates of the shamanic state of consciousness. *bioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.20.212522

Kilic, M. (2023). A Jungian hero's journey as individuation process in J.K. Rowling's Harry Potter and the Sorcerer's Stone: A Jungian approach. *RumeliDE Dil ve Edebiyat Araştırmaları Dergisi*, *35*, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.29000/rumelide.1372492

Ladkin, D., Spiller, C., & Craze, G. (2018). The journey of individuation: A Jungian alternative to the theory and practice of leading authentically. *Leadership*, *14*(1), 86-101. https://doi.org/10.1177/1742715016681942

Lomas, T., Hefferon, K., & Ivtzan, I. (2015). *Positive psychology in applied settings*. SAGE Publications.

MacLennan, B. J. (2006). *Individual soul and world soul: The process of individuation in Neoplatonism & Jung*. Jung Society of Washington.

Martela, F., & Ryan, R. M. (2019). Clarifying the concept of well-being: Psychological need satisfaction as the common core connecting eudaimonic and subjective well-being. *Review of General Psychology*, *23*(4), 458-474. https://doi.org/10.1177/1089268019880886

Martela, F., & Steger, M. F. (2016). The three meanings of meaning in life: Distinguishing coherence, purpose, and significance. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, *11*(5), 531-545.

McManus, P. (2010). An integral framework for permaculture. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, *3*(3), 162-174. https://doi.org/10.5539/JSD.V3N3P162

Mills, C. (2017). *I can thrive!: Fostering well-being in adolescent girls via the unified approach* [Doctoral dissertation]. University of East London.

Moyses, M. A. (2022). Psicologia analítica [Analytical psychology]. In *Teorias e Técnicas Psicoterápicas* (pp. 95-106). Editora Científica. https://doi.org/10.24824/978652512301.1.95-106

Olsson, C. A., McGee, R., Nada-Raja, S., & Williams, S. M. (2013). A 32-year longitudinal study of child and adolescent pathways to well-being in adulthood. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, *14*(3), 1069-1083. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10902-012-9369-8

Robbins, B. D. (2021). The joyful life: An existential-humanistic approach to positive psychology in the time of a pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*, 648600. https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2021.648600

Roesler, C. (2025). The process of transformation–The core of analytical psychology and how it can be investigated. *Journal of Analytical Psychology*, *70*(1), 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5922.13095

Ryan, R. M., Curren, R. R., & Deci, E. L. (2013). What humans need: Flourishing in Aristotelian philosophy and self-determination theory. In A. S. Waterman (Ed.), *The best within us: Positive psychology perspectives on eudaimonia* (pp. 57-75). American Psychological Association. https://doi.org/10.1037/14092-004

Ryan, R. M., Huta, V., & Deci, E. L. (2008). Living well: A self-determination theory perspective on eudaimonia. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, *9*(1), 139-170. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10902-006-9023-4

Ryan, R. M., Huta, V., & Deci, E. L. (2001). On happiness and human potentials: A review of research on hedonic and eudaimonic well-being. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *52*(1), 141-166. https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV.PSYCH.52.1.141

Ryan, R. M., Soenens, B., & Vansteenkiste, M. (2016). Eudaimonia as a way of living: Connecting Aristotle with self-determination theory. In J. Vittersø (Ed.), *Handbook of eudaimonic well-being* (pp. 109-122). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42445-3_7

Santos, E. A. (2022). Psicologia analítica e sombra em confronto com o sujeito [Analytical psychology and shadow in confrontation with the subject]. *Revista de Estudos Universitários*, *48*, 41-52. https://doi.org/10.22484/2177-5788.2022v48id4807

Saunders, B., Kinnafick, F., & Laithwaite, H. (2018). Physical activity, well-being, and the basic psychological needs: Adopting the SDT model of eudaimonia in a post-cardiac rehabilitation sample. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being*, *10*(3), 422-441. https://doi.org/10.1111/APHW.12136

Schippers, M. C., & Ziegler, N. (2019). Life crafting as a way to find purpose and meaning in life. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *10*, 2778. https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2019.02778

Schlamm, L. (2001). Ken Wilber's spectrum model: Identifying alternative soteriological perspectives. *Religion*, *31*(3), 19-45. https://doi.org/10.1006/RELI.2000.0306

Stein, M. (2017). Where East meets West: In the house of individuation. *Journal of Analytical Psychology*, *62*(2), 145-165. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5922.12280

Sweet, S. (n.d.). *Internally guided leadership: The role of Jungian archetypes in the individuation of leaders* [Unpublished manuscript].

Sznajder, M. (2020). The idea of incarnation revisited by Jung, Gadamer and Henry. *Argument: Biannual Philosophical Journal*, *9*(2), 135-150. https://doi.org/10.24917/20841043.9.2.7

Tricarico, M. (2016). The individuation process in post-modernity. *Psychological Perspectives*, *59*(4), 456-472. https://doi.org/10.1080/00332925.2016.1240529

Vansteenkiste, M., Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2008). Self-determination theory and the explanatory role of psychological needs in human well-being. In L. Bruni, F. Comim, & M. Pugno (Eds.), *Capabilities and happiness* (pp. 187-223). Oxford University Press.

Walsh, R. (2001). Shamanic experiences: A developmental analysis. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, *41*(3), 31-52. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022167801413004

Walsh, R. (2011). The varieties of wisdom: Contemplative, cross-cultural, and integral contributions. *Research in Human Development*, *8*(2), 109-127. https://doi.org/10.1080/15427609.2011.568866

Wilber, K. (1979). A developmental view of consciousness. *Journal of Transpersonal Psychology*, *11*(1), 1-21.

Wilber, K. (1997). An integral theory of consciousness. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, *4*(1), 71-92.

Wilber, K. (2000). Waves, streams, states and self: Further considerations for an integral theory of consciousness. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, *7*(11-12), 145-176.

Wrastari, A. T. (2018). *Inner transformation: Exploring the interrelationship between transformative learning and religiosity among change agent educators in Indonesia* [Doctoral dissertation]. Teachers College, Columbia University.

Author Note

Luis Miguel Gallardo is Founder and President of the World Happiness Foundation and Professor of Practice at Shoolini University's Yogananda School of Spirituality and Happiness. His work integrates depth psychology, hypnotherapy, and contemplative approaches to facilitate individual and collective transformation. Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Luis Miguel Gallardo, World Happiness Foundation. Email: luis@worldhappiness.foundation

Acknowledgments